



MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS 1963 4

FINDING THE LARGEST & P-BALL

IN A POLYHEDRAL SET

Tzong-Huei Shiau



December 1984

(received November 23, 1984)

Approved for public release Distribution unlimited

DTIC MAY 9 1985

Sponsored by

U. S. Army Research Office P. O. Box 12211

Research Triangle Park North Carolina 27709 National Science Foundation Washington, DC 20550

85

U L

UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN - MADISON MATHEMATICS RESEARCH CENTER

FINDING THE LARGEST & Ball IN A POLYHEDRAL SET

Tzong-Huei Shiau

Technical Summary Report #2778

December 1984

ABSTRACT

A simple linear programming formulation is given for finding an polyhedral set defined by m linear with largest radius contained in a polyhedral set defined by m linear inequalities. The linear program also has m linear constraints similar to those defining the set. It is shown that finding the largest ball is not much more difficult than finding a feasible point. When the center of ball is fixed, the largest radius is easily obtained as the smallest of m ratios. The results can be extended to balls defined by other norms such as elliptic norms.

AMS (MOS) Subject Classifications: 90C05, 90C50

Key Words: linear programming, polyhedral set, ℓ^p -norm, computational

complexity, has been ex-

Work Unit Number 5 - Optimization and Large Scale Systems

∵a∘d

Sponsored by the United States Army under Contract No. DAAG29-80-C-0041. This material is based upon work supported by the National Science Foundation under Grant No. DMS-8210350, Mod. 1.

SIGNIFICANCE AND EXPLANATION

Finding the largest ball or hypercube in a polyhedral set has many applications in operations research. This work gives a simple linear programming formulation for solving the problem. The effort needed to solve the linear program is almost the same as that for finding a feasible point in the given polyhedral set. Hence the result of this work can be considered optimal.

The responsibility for the wording and views expressed in this descriptive summary lies with MRC, and not with the author of this report.

FINDING THE LARGEST & P-BALL IN A POLYHEDRAL SET

Tzong-Huei Shiau

1. Introduction

We consider the problem of finding the largest ℓ^p -ball B(y,Y;p) contained in a polyhedral set $F = R^n$, where

$$F = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid a_i^T x \leq b_i \text{ for } i = 1, 2, ..., m\}$$

 $0 \neq a_i \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $b_i \in \mathbb{R}$, the superscript T denotes matrix transposition and $a_i^T \times is$ therefore the inner product of a_i and x. For $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $y \in \mathbb{R}$ and $1 \le p \le \infty$, the ℓ^p -ball with center y and radius y is defined by

$$B(y,Y;p) := \{y + Yz \mid |z|_p \le 1\}$$
 where

$$|z|_{p} := \begin{cases} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} |z_{i}|^{p}\right)^{1/p} & 1 \leq p < \infty \\ \max_{i} |z_{i}| & p = \infty \end{cases} .$$

 $B(y,\gamma;p)$ is an ordinary ball for p=2. For $p=\infty$, it is a hypercube. Intuitively if F contains no interior points the largest ball will have $\gamma=0$, otherwise $\gamma>0$ (including the case $\gamma=+\infty$). Since the ℓ^p -ball is convex, $B(y,\gamma;p)\subseteq F$ if and only if F contains all the extreme points of $B(y,\gamma;p)$. In the case that $p=+\infty$, $B(y,\gamma;p)$ has 2^n extreme points,

$$y + \gamma z^{k}, k = 1, 2, ..., 2^{n}$$

where $z^k = [\pm 1, \pm 1, \dots, \pm 1]^T$. Therefore the problem of finding the largest ball can be formulated as

(2) max
$$\gamma$$
 subject to $a_i^T(y + \gamma z^k) \leq b_i$, $i = 1, ..., m, k = 1, ..., 2^n$.

 (y, γ)

Sponsored by the United States Army under Contract No. DAAG29-80-C-0041. This material is based upon work supported by the National Science Foundation under Grant No. DMS-8210950, Mod. 1.

Although this is a linear program, it contains $2^n \cdot m$ constraints. Hence (2) is practically intractable even when n is as small as 20.

In this paper, we give a linear program formulation with only m constraints. The linear program (see (5)) is very similar to and no more difficult than the following formulation for finding a feasible point in F.

max Y subject to
$$\mathbf{a_i^Ty} - Y \leq \mathbf{b_i}$$
 i = 1,...,m . (y,Y)

Hence the problem can be solved by efficient algorithms such as Dantzig's simplex method or, if the problem is large and sparse, Mangasarian's SOR method [6]. This result also shows that theoretically the problem is polynomial-time solvable [3], [4]. It is interesting to note that finding the smallest ball containing F is much more difficult. Depending on the norm used, it can be NP-complete [7], which means that if one can solve it in polynomial time then he can solve also in polynomial time hundreds of those intractable problems such as traveling salesman problem [2] or non-convex linear complementarity problems [1]. These problems are considered intractable because as it is widely believed, but not proven, that no polynomial-time algorithms exist for solving them.

2. LP Formulation

The problem can be written as

For i = 1, 2, ..., m, define the function $g_i(y, Y; p)$ by

(4)
$$g_{i}(y,Y;p) := \max_{x} a_{i}^{T}x - b_{i}$$
 subject to $x \in B(y,Y;p)$.

It is easy to see that the constraint in (3) can be replaced by m constraints $q_1(y,\gamma;p) \le 0$ i = 1,2,...,m.

<u>Lemma 1</u>: $B(y,\gamma;p) \subset F$ if and only if $g_i(y,\gamma;p) \leq 0$ for i = 1,2,...,m.

Proof: $B(y,Y;p) \in F$

iff

 $\begin{aligned} \mathbf{a}_1^T \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b}_i &\leq 0 \quad \text{for all} \quad \mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{y},\mathbf{Y};\mathbf{p}) \quad \text{for} \quad \mathbf{i} = 1,2,\dots,m \\ &\quad \text{iff} \\ \left(\max_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{a}_1^T \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b}_i &\leq 0 \quad \text{subject to} \quad \mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{y},\mathbf{Y};\mathbf{p}) \right) \quad \text{for} \quad \mathbf{i} = 1,2,\dots,m \\ &\quad \mathbf{x} \\ &\quad \text{iff} \\ \mathbf{g}_i(\mathbf{y},\mathbf{Y};\mathbf{p}) &\leq 0 \quad \text{for} \quad \mathbf{i} = 1,2,\dots,m \end{aligned}$

 \Box

Lemma 2. For $1 \le p \le \infty$, $g_i(y,\gamma,p) = a_i^T y - b_i + r \cdot |a_i|_q$ where $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$.

Proof: For all $x \in B(y, Y; p)$, $|x-y| \le Y$ and

$$a_{i}^{T}x - b_{i} = a_{i}^{T}y - b_{i} + a_{i}^{T}(x-y)$$

$$< a_{i}^{T}y - b_{i} + |a_{i}|_{q} \cdot |x-y|_{p} < a_{i}^{T}y - b_{i} + |y|_{q} \cdot |a_{i}|_{q}.$$

Hence $g_i(y,\gamma;p) \leq a_i^T y - b_i + \gamma \cdot |a_i|_q$. On the other hand, it is well-known that equalities hold for some $x \in B(y,\gamma;p)$. Hence $g_i(y,\gamma;p) = a_i^T y - b_i + r \cdot |a_i|_q$. To make the paper self-contained, we shall give the definition of x. Let a_{ij} denote the j-th component of a_i , define

$$\varepsilon_{j} = \begin{cases} +1 & \text{if } a_{ij} \ge 0 \\ \\ -1 & \text{if } a_{ij} < 0 \end{cases} \qquad j = 1, 2, \dots, n .$$

Case $p = \infty$, q = 1. $x := y + \gamma z$ where $z_j = \epsilon_j$, j = 1, ..., n.

So
$$a^{T}(x-y) = Y \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{ij}z_{j} = Y \sum_{j=1}^{n} |a_{ij}| = Y \cdot |a_{i}|_{1}$$
.

Case p = 1, $q = \infty$. $x := y + Y^c_k e_k$ where k is an index that

 $|a_i|_{\infty} = |a_{ik}|$ and e_k is the k-th unit vector.

Case
$$1 . $x := y + \frac{Y}{|z|_p} z$ where $z_j = |a_{ij}|^{q-1} \varepsilon_j$.$$

So
$$|z|_p = (\Sigma |a_{ij}|^{(q-1)p})^{1/p} = (\Sigma |a_{ij}|^q)^{1/p}$$
 since $(q-1) \cdot p = q$, and thereby,

$$a^{T}(x-y) = \frac{\gamma}{|z|_{p}} \cdot \Sigma |a_{ij}| \cdot |a_{ij}|^{q-1} = \gamma \cdot \frac{1}{|z|_{p}} \Sigma |a_{ij}|^{q} = \gamma \cdot (\Sigma |a_{ij}|^{q})^{1-1/p}$$

$$= \gamma \cdot (\Sigma |a_{ij}|^{q})^{1/q} = \gamma \cdot |a_{ij}|_{q}.$$

 \Box

It follows that (3) is equivalent to

(5) maximize
$$\gamma$$
 subject to $a_i^T y + \gamma \cdot |a_i|_q \leq b_i$, $i = 1, 2, ..., m$.

 (y, γ)

We summarize the results in the followsing:

Theorem 1. For any given a_i , b_i , i = 1,2,...,m

- (i) The linear program (5) is feasible.
- (ii) Assume that the linear program (5) is bounded, and that (y^*, γ^*) is an optimal solution with $\gamma^* < +\infty$. Then
- (a) $\gamma^* < 0$ if and only if $F = \phi$.
- (b) $\gamma^* = 0$ if and only if $F \neq \phi$ but F has empty interior.
- (c) $\gamma^* > 0$ if and only if $F^O \neq \phi$ and $B(\gamma^*, \gamma^*; p)$ is an ℓ^p -ball contained in F with the largest radius.

<u>Proof.</u> Since (5) is equivalent to (3) by Lemma 1, 2. The theorem follows by the following observations.

- (i) (0,-K) is feasible for K sufficiently large.
- (ii) (y,0) is feasible for all y e F.
- (iii) F has non-empty interior if and only if F contains a ball $B(\gamma,\gamma;p)$ with $\gamma>0$.

Remarks (i) If $\gamma^* = +\infty$ then F has unbounded interior. But the reverse is not true. For example, let

$$F = \{(x_1, x_2) | x_1 - x_2 \le 1, -x_1 + x_2 \le 0\}$$
.

The same example also shows that y^* is not unique.

(ii) Given y e F, the largest ball contained in F and centered at y can be found by solving

$$\max_{Y} Y \text{ s.t. } Y^{\bullet} |a_{ij}|_{q} \leq b_{i} - a_{i}^{T} Y, \quad i = 1, ..., m$$

which can be solved explicitly, namely,

(6)
$$y^* = \min_{1 \le i \le m} \frac{b_i - a_i^T y}{|a_i|_q}.$$

3. General Norms

From the derivation of (5) in the previous section, it is clear that the results can be generalized to other norms. That is, find a largest ball $B(y,\gamma) = \{x \mid |x-y| \le \gamma\}$ in F is equivalent to

(7)
$$\max_{(y,y)} Y \text{ s.t. } a_{\underline{i}}^{T}y + Y^{\bullet}|a_{\underline{i}}|^{*} \leq b_{\underline{i}}, \quad \underline{i} = 1,...,m$$

where $|a_i|^*$ is the dual norm of $|\cdot|$, namely

(8)
$$|a_i|^* = \max a_i^T z \text{ s.t. } |z| \le 1$$
.

Of course, to make (7) useful computationally, we need to be able to solve (8), as in Section 2. For example, if $|z| := (z^T A z)^{1/2}$ where A is a symmetric positive definite matrix, then by Kuhn-Tucker Theorem [5] we have

(9)
$$|a_{i}|^{*} = \max_{z} a_{i}^{T} z \text{ s.t. } z^{T} A z \leq 1$$

$$= (a_{i}^{T} A^{-1} a_{i})^{1/2} .$$

Note that in this case, a "ball" is in fact an ellipsoid. Hence one can find the largest ellipsoid (with a given shape defined by A) in F by solving a linear program. When the center is fixed, the largest ellipsoid can easily be found by (6) in which $|a_i|_q$ is replaced by $(a_i^T A^{-1} a_i)^{1/2}$.

REFERENCES

- Sung-Jin Chung, "A note on the complexity of LCP", TR No. 79-2, Department of Industrial and Operations Engineering, University of Michigan.
- 2. M. R. Garey and D. S. Johnson, "Computers and Intractability", Freeman Co. 1979.
- 3. L. G. Khachian, "A Polynomial Algorithm for Linear Programming", Doklady Akademii Nauk SSR, Vol. 244, No. 5, pp. 1093-1096, 1979.
- 4. N. Karmarkar, "A new polynomial-time algorithm for linear programming", Proceedings of the Sixteenth Annaul ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing, Washington, DC, April 30-May 2, 1984, 302-311.
- 5. O. L. Mangasarian, "Nonlinear Programming", McGraw-Hill Co., 1969.
- 6. O. L. Mangasarian, "Iterative solution of linear programs", SIAM J. on Numerical
 Analysis 18, 1981, pp. 606-614.
- 7. O. L. Mangasarian and T.-H. Shiau, "The variable complexity of a norm maximization problem", University of Wisconsin, Mathematics Research Center TSR.

THS/jvs

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE		READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
1. REPORT NUMBER	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO.	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
#2778	!	
4. TITLE (and Substite) Finding the largest ℓ^p -Ball in a Polyhedral Set		5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED
		Summary Report - no specific
		reporting period
		6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
7. AUTHOR(e)		B. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(1)
Tzong-Huei Shìau		DMS-8210950, Mod 1
		DAAG29-80-C-0041
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS		10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS
Mathematics Research Center, University of		Work Unit Number 5 -
610 Walnut Street	Wisconsin	Optimization and Large
Madison, Wisconsin 53706		Scale Systems
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS		12. REPORT DATE
See Item 18 below		December 1984
		13. NUMBER OF PAGES
		6
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(If different from Controlling Office)		15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report)
		IINOI ACCIPIED
		UNCLASSIFIED
		184. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING

16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report)

Approved for public release: distribution unlimited.

17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Black 20, if different from Report)

18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES

U. S. Army Research Office

P. O. Box 12211

Research Triangle Park North Carolina 27709

19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identity by block number)

linear programming, polyhedral set, \$p\$-norm, computational complexity

20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identity by block number)

A simple linear programming formulation is given for finding an eleball with largest radius contained in a polyhedral set defined by molinear inequalities. The linear program also has molinear constraints similar to those defining the set. It is shown that finding the largest ball is not much more difficult than finding a feasible point. When the center of ball is fixed, the larges radius is easily obtained as the smallest of moration. The results can be extended to balls defined by other norms such as elliptic norms.

DD 1 JAN 73 1473 EDITION OF 1 NOV 65 IS OBSOLETE

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Date Entered)

National Science Foundation

Washington, DC 20550

END

FILMED

6-85

DTIC